Document Title:	Document Number: ORAUT-OTIB-0035
Internal Dosimetry Coworker Data for K-25	Revision: 00
	Effective Date: 08/09/2005
	Type of Document: OTIB
	Supersedes: None

Subject Expert: Robert N. Cherry

Document Owner
Approval: Signature on File — Approval Date: 08/05/2005
Judson L. Kenoyer, Task 3 Manager

Concurrence: Signature on File — Concurrence Date: 08/04/2005
Richard E. Toohey, Project Director

Approval: Signature on File — Approval Date: 08/09/2005
James W. Neton, Associate Director for Science

☒ New ☐ Total Rewrite ☐ Revision ☐ Page Change

DOCUMENTS MARKED AS A TOTAL REWRITE, REVISION, OR PAGE CHANGE REPLACE THE PRIOR REVISION. PLEASE DISCARD / DESTROY ALL COPIES OF THE PRIOR REVISION.

PUBLICATION RECORD

EFFECTIVE DATE	REVISION NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
04/21/2005	00-A	New technical information bulletin for assignment of K-25 internal doses based on coworker bioassay data. Initiated by Robert N. Cherry.
06/10/2005	00-B	Incorporates internal review comments. Initiated by Robert N. Cherry.
07/19/2005	00-C	Incorporates NIOSH review comments. Initiated by Robert N. Cherry.
08/09/2005	00	First approved issue. Training required: As determined by the Task Manager. Initiated by Robert N. Cherry.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES

LIST OF FIGURES

1.0 PURPOSE

Technical Information Bulletins (TIBs) are general working documents that provide guidance concerning the preparation of dose reconstructions at particular sites or categories of sites. They will be revised in the event additional relevant information is obtained. TIBs may be used to assist the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) in the completion of individual dose reconstructions.

In this document the word "facility" is used as a general term for an area, building, or group of buildings that served a specific purpose at a site. It does not necessarily connote an "atomic weapons employer facility" or a "Department of Energy facility" as defined in the Energy Employees Occupational Illness Compensation Program Act of 2000 [42 U.S.C. Sections 7384l(5) and (12)].

The purpose of this TIB is to provide information for the application of K-25 coworker data in estimating unmonitored internal exposures. Some employees were not monitored for internal ionizing radiation exposure during the course of their employment at a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE)[1] facility, or the records of such monitoring are incomplete or unavailable. In such cases, data from monitored coworkers may be used to estimate an individual's possible exposure.

2.0 OVERVIEW

Analysis of Coworker Bioassay Data for Internal Dose Assignment (ORAUT 2004a) describes the general process used to analyze bioassay data for assigning doses to individuals based on coworker results. *Coworker Data Exposure Profile Development* (ORAUT 2004b) describes the approach and processes to be used to develop reasonable exposure profiles based on available dosimetric information for workers at DOE sites.

Bioassay results were obtained from the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education (ORISE) Center for Epidemiologic Research (CER) Dosimetry Database, which contains urinalysis records from the K-25 site for 1948 to 1988. ORISE obtained these data from K-25 to conduct an epidemiological study of site workers. The urinalysis results, labeled "gross alpha," are in units of disintegrations per minute per 100 mL (dpm/100 mL). Results labeled "Uranium" were also available that included units of mass rather than activity concentration. It is not possible to convert mass concentration to activity concentration accurately for dose assessment purposes without knowing the isotopic abundances. Therefore, only the gross alpha data were used because these data were adequate and complete.

A statistical analysis of the gross alpha data was performed in accordance with ORAUT (2004a). The resultant values were input to the Integrated Modules for Bioassay Analysis (IMBA) Expert^{TM} OCAS-Edition computer software (ACJ 2004), and a fit to the data was performed to obtain intake rates for assigning dose distributions.

Technetium-99 bioassay results were similarly obtained from the ORISE CER Dosimetry Database, which contains ^{99}Tc urinalysis records from the K-25 site for 1978 to 1988. During these years, operations with purified ^{99}Tc were conducted which could result in intakes of ^{99}Tc without commensurate intakes of uranium. The ^{99}Tc urinalysis results are in units of dpm/mL. A statistical analysis of these data was performed in accordance with ORAUT (2004a). The resultant values were

[1] References to DOE in this document include DOE's predecessors, the Manhattan Engineer District (1942 to 1946), the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission (1947 to 1975), and the Energy Research and Development Administration (1975 to 1977), as well as DOE (1977 to the present).

input to IMBA, and a fit to the data was performed to obtain intake rates for assigning dose distributions.

3.0 DATA

3.1 SELECTED BIOASSAY DATA

Uranium urinalysis data from 1948 to 1988 were extracted from a Microsoft® Access table named "tblK25_Urinalysis_rawData" in a subdatabase named "K25_Urinalysis_to_COC_10-8-2004.mdb" in the ORISE/CER Dosimetry Database.

Technetium-99 urinalysis data were similarly extracted and analyzed for 1978 to 1988.

3.2 ANALYSIS

Bioassay data were analyzed by year. A lognormal distribution was assumed, and the 50th and 84th percentiles were calculated for each year using the method described in ORAUT (2004a).

Many results in the database were listed as less than (<) a value. For 1953 and 1977 through 1988, for every record in the "Result" field that contained the less-than symbol followed by a number, that number was included in the ranking but not in the fit.

Tables A-1 and A-2 show the uranium and ^{99}Tc statistical analysis results, respectively.

4.0 INTAKE MODELING

Although K-25 operations began in early 1945, uranium bioassay results are not currently available for years earlier than 1948. Additional study of K-25 operations from 1945 to 1947 is necessary before there will be enough information for modeling for those years.

4.1 ASSUMPTIONS

Each result used in the intake calculation was assumed to be normally distributed. A uniform absolute error of 1 was applied to all results, thus assigning the same weight to each result. IMBA requires results to be in units of activity per day, so all results were normalized to 1400 mL, the volume of urine excreted by Reference Man in a 24-hour period.

Because of the nature of work at K-25, a chronic exposure pattern best approximates the true exposure conditions for most workers with a potential for intakes. Intakes were assumed to be by way of inhalation using a default breathing rate of 1.2 m^3/hr and a 5-µm activity median aerodynamic diameter particle size distribution.

The database file for uranium lists all results as activity concentrations. Because a variety of enrichments is possible at the K-25 site, ^{234}U was assumed for the IMBA intake modeling. The dose coefficients (also referred to as dose conversion factors) in International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP) Publication 68 for ^{234}U are 7% to 31% larger than those for ^{235}U, ^{236}U, and ^{238}U (ICRP 1995). Therefore, use of the ^{234}U dose conversion factor will overestimate doses and is therefore a claimant-favorable assumption.

4.2 BIOASSAY FITTING

IMBA was used to fit inhalation intakes to the bioassay results. Data from January 1948 to December 1988 were fit as one or more chronic intakes.

4.3 MATERIAL TYPES

4.3.1 Uranium

Uranium urinalysis results were fit in IMBA using types F, M, and S materials to derive intake rates for 1948 to 1988. The solid lines in Figures B-1 to B-6 show the individual fits to the 50th- and 84th-percentile excretion rates. The same intake periods were applied for both percentiles because the bioassay values modeled followed a similar pattern.

4.3.2 Technetium-99

Urinalysis results for ^{99}Tc were fit in IMBA using types F and M materials to derive intake rates for 1978 to 1988. [Type S material is not considered in ICRP (1995) for ^{99}Tc.] The solid lines in Figures B-7 to B-10 show the individual fits to the 50th- and 84th-percentile excretion rates. The same intake periods were applied for both percentiles because the values followed a similar pattern.

The bioassay data showed a significant change in excretion rate between 1982 and 1983, which required different fits for the periods from 1978 to 1982 and from 1983 to 1988.

5.0 ASSIGNMENT OF INTAKES AND DOSES

5.1 INTAKE RATE SUMMARY

The derived 50th- and 84th-percentile uranium excretion data are relatively constant from 1948 to 1988, as shown in Figures B-1 to B-6. Therefore, a single intake period was assumed. Table 5-1 summarizes the derived uranium intake rate that produced the fits.

Table 5-1. Derived uranium intake rate, 1948 to 1988.

Type F material			Type M material			Type S material		
50th percentile (dpm/d)	84th percentile (dpm/d)	Geometric standard deviation	50th percentile (dpm/d)	84th percentile (dpm/d)	Geometric standard deviation	50th percentile (dpm/d)	84th percentile (dpm/d)	Geometric standard deviation
21.5	76.2	3.54	88.3	313	3.54	990	3,460	3.50

The derived 50th- and 84th-percentile ^{99}Tc excretion data are relatively constant from 1978 to 1982 and, at lower rates, from 1983 to 1988, as shown in Figures B-7 to B-10. Therefore, two intake periods were assumed. Table 5-2 summarizes the derived ^{99}Tc intake rates that produced the fits. Although the modeling resulted in lower GSDs, a GSD of 3 is assigned to all of the Tc-99 intakes for 1978 through 1988 to adequately account for uncertainty in the biokinetic modeling.

Table 5-2. Derived ^{99}Tc intake rates.

	Type F material			Type M material		
Years	50th percentile (dpm/d)	84th percentile (dpm/d)	Geometric standard deviation	50th percentile (dpm/d)	84th percentile (dpm/d)	Geometric standard deviation
1978–1982	13,900	24,900	3.0	15,570	27,860	3.0
1983–1988	3,160	6,890	3.0	3,420	7,499	3.0

5.2 CONTRIBUTION FROM CONTAMINANTS IN RECYCLED URANIUM

Spent fuel from fission reactors was processed throughout the DOE complex to recover uranium for recycling. Because the uranium streams at K-25 contained recycled uranium at various times, the dose from the added constituents, including plutonium, neptunium, and ^{99}Tc, must be included during dose reconstruction. ORAUT (2004c) provides information about recycled uranium at K-25.

Results of bioassays analyzed specifically for ^{99}Tc are available for 1978 to 1988, as noted above. These bioassays could have been performed for workers on decontamination efforts because "^{99}Tc was concentrated at K-25 for purposes of recovery and removal" (ORAUT 2004d).

Intake rates derived from these ^{99}Tc bioassay results will be used for ^{99}Tc for 1978 to 1988 rather than the assumed ratios of contaminant concentrations in uranium, as will be done for ^{99}Tc for 1948 to 1977.

5.3 DOSE ASSIGNMENT

Doses to be assigned to individuals are calculated from the 50th-percentile intake rates. Dose reconstructors should select the material type that results in the largest probability of causation.

A comparison shows that intake rates derived assuming type S material are much greater than the intake rates derived assuming type M or type F materials for all periods. However, because type S material remains in the lungs for an extended period while types F and M materials are transferred to systemic organs, it is necessary to compare the annual doses on a case-by-case basis to determine which will deliver the largest dose to the organ of interest.

Recycled uranium contaminants, when appropriate for the period, should also be included in the above comparison.

The lognormal distribution is selected in the NIOSH Interactive RadioEpidemiological Program (NIOSH-IREP), with the calculated dose entered as Parameter 1 and the associated geometric standard deviation as Parameter 2. The geometric standard deviation is associated with the intake, so it is applied to all annual doses determined from the intake period.

REFERENCES

ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection), 1959, *Report of ICRP Committee II on Permissible Dose for Internal Radiation*, Publication 2, Pergamon Press, Oxford, England.

ICRP (International Commission on Radiological Protection), 1995, *Dose Coefficients for Intakes of Radionuclides by Workers*, Publication 68, Pergamon Press, Oxford, England.

ORAUT (Oak Ridge Associated Universities Team), 2004a, *Analysis of Coworker Bioassay Data for Internal Dose Assignment*, ORAUT-OTIB-0019, Rev. 00, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

ORAUT (Oak Ridge Associated Universities Team), 2004b, *Coworker Data Exposure Profile Development*, ORAUT-PLAN-0014, Rev. 00, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

ORAUT (Oak Ridge Associated Universities Team), 2004c, *Technical Basis Document for the K-25 Site – Site Description*, ORAUT-TKBS-0009-2, Rev. 00, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

ORAUT (Oak Ridge Associated Universities Team), 2004d, *Technical Basis Document for the K-25 Site – Occupational External Dose*, ORAUT-TKBS-0009-6, Rev. 00, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

ATTACHMENT A: TABLES

Table A-1. Summary of annual uranium urinary excretion rate analyses, 1948 to 1988.

Year	50th percentile (dpm/100 mL)	84th percentile (dpm/100 mL)
1948	0.450	1.134
1949	0.239	0.827
1950	0.430	1.233
1951	0.210	0.769
1952	0.173	1.372
1953	0.378	1.577
1954	0.256	1.184
1955	0.280	1.256
1956	0.332	1.342
1957	0.519	1.994
1958	0.420	1.666
1959	0.331	1.524
1960	0.359	2.081
1961	0.567	2.867
1962	0.572	2.355
1963	0.502	1.790
1964	0.623	1.803
1965	0.740	2.922
1966	0.393	1.330
1967	0.284	1.022
1968	0.199	1.027
1969	0.381	1.406
1970	0.352	1.341
1971	0.399	1.782
1972	0.495	1.798
1973	0.645	2.187
1974	0.424	1.409
1975	0.542	1.782
1976	0.265	0.981
1977	0.327	1.510
1978	0.159	0.767
1979	0.258	0.896
1980	0.405	1.139
1981	0.506	1.296
1982	0.506	1.166
1983	0.605	1.397
1984	0.610	1.809
1985	0.581	2.322
1986	0.657	1.643
1987	0.517	1.181
1988	0.648	1.166

Table A-2. Summary of annual ^{99}Tc urinary excretion rate analyses, 1978 to 1988.

Year	50th percentile (dpm/mL)	84th percentile (dpm/mL)
1978	2.804	4.602
1979	1.682	3.821
1980	0.961	2.384
1981	3.086	5.264
1982	2.444	3.560
1983	0.691	1.462
1984	0.661	1.714
1985	0.002	0.066
1986	0.553	1.000
1987	0.157	0.464
1988	0.428	0.788

ATTACHMENT B: FIGURES



Figure B-1. Predicted uranium bioassay results calculated using IMBA-derived U intake rates (line) compared with uranium bioassay results (dots), January 1, 1948, to December 31, 1988, 50th-percentile, Type F.



Figure B-2. Predicted uranium bioassay results calculated using IMBA-derived U intake rates (line) compared with uranium bioassay results (dots), January 1, 1948, to December 31, 1988, 84th-percentile, Type F.



Figure B-3. Predicted uranium bioassay results calculated using IMBA-derived U intake rates (line) compared with uranium bioassay results (dots), January 1, 1948, to December 31, 1988, 50th-percentile, Type M.



Figure B-4. Predicted uranium bioassay results calculated using IMBA-derived U intake rates (line) compared with uranium bioassay results (dots), January 1, 1948, to December 31, 1988, 84th-percentile, Type M.



Figure B-5. Predicted uranium bioassay results calculated using IMBA-derived U intake rates (line) compared with uranium bioassay results (dots), January 1, 1948, to December 31, 1988, 50th-percentile, Type S.



Figure B-6. Predicted uranium bioassay results calculated using IMBA-derived U intake rates (line) compared with uranium bioassay results (dots), January 1, 1948, to December 31, 1988, 84th-percentile, Type S.



Figure B-7. Predicted technetium-99 bioassay results calculated using IMBA-derived ^{99}Tc intake rates (line) compared with uranium bioassay results (dots), January 1, 1978, to December 31, 1988, 50th-percentile, Type F.



Figure B-8. Predicted technetium-99 bioassay results calculated using IMBA-derived ^{99}Tc intake rates (line) compared with uranium bioassay results (dots), January 1, 1978, to December 31, 1988, 84th-percentile, Type F.



Figure B-9. Predicted technetium-99 bioassay results calculated using IMBA-derived ^{99}Tc intake rates (line) compared with uranium bioassay results (dots), January 1, 1978, to December 31, 1988, 50th-percentile, Type M.



Figure B-10. Predicted technetium-99 bioassay results calculated using IMBA-derived ^{99}Tc intake rates (line) compared with uranium bioassay results (dots), January 1, 1978, to December 31, 1988, 84th-percentile, Type M.