

***Procedures To Reduce the Risk of Respondent  
Disclosure in a Public-Use Data File:  
The National Immunization Survey***

**Meena Khare (NCHS),  
Michael P. Battaglia (Abt Associates),  
David C. Hoaglin (Abt Associates),  
and Robert A. Wright (NCHS)**



## *Confidentiality, Disclosure , and Data Access*

- ❖ *Most Public-Use Data Files (PUFs) are at person level*
- ❖ *Potential conflict between data users' need for detailed information and protecting confidentiality*
- ❖ *Detailed information collected: Demographic, socioeconomic, geographic data and other characteristics*
- ❖ *Unique and rare characteristics of respondents increase risk of disclosure*
- ❖ *Explosion in exogenous data files and rapidly growing information technology (e.g., Growth in Birth Certificate information, editors:Doyle et al., 2001, pp45-51)*
- ❖ *Section 308(d) of the Public Health Services Act and the Privacy Act of 1974 promise confidentiality of information and protecting identity of respondents*

## *National Immunization Survey (NIS)*

- ❖ *Large ongoing list-assisted RDD survey, conducted by the CDC since April 1994*
- ❖ *Measures vaccination coverage rates among children aged 19-35 months at national, state, and urban area levels (78 IAP areas)*
- ❖ *Monitors Healthy People 2000 and 2010 Goals*
  - ◆ *>90% Coverage: 4DTP, 3Polio, 1MCV, 3Hib, 3HepB, and 4:3:1:3 series*
- ❖ *Approximately 4% of households in the U.S.A. contain child aged 19-35 months; approximately 35,000 children with completed household interview*



## *Contents of the NIS PUF*

### *❖ Household CATI Interview*

- ◆ Demographic Data: Age, gender, race/ethnicity of the child, mother's age and race/ethnicity*
- ◆ Socioeconomic data: Family income, mother's education*
- ◆ Geographic Identifiers: City, State, County*
- ◆ Subject-matter data: Medical conditions, Child's immunization status, vaccination dates*

### *❖ Provider Record Check Study, PRCS (mailed IHQ)*

- ◆ Vaccine-specific shot dates*
- ◆ Provider's information (e.g., facility type, VFC participation)*

## *The NIS PUF*

- ❖ Public-use data files (1995-2000): Child-level records with 78 IAP area (state and urban) identifiers*
- ❖ Approximately 35,000 age-eligible children with household interview data*
- ❖ Approximately 23,000 children with household interview and 'adequate' provider data; on average 295 children per IAP area*
- ❖ PUFs released on the Internet and CD-ROMs*

## *NCHS Review and Clearance Process*

- ❖ *Disclosure Review Board (DRB)*
- ❖ *Extensive review of 2-, 3-, and 4- way tables within each IAP area*
  - ◆ Population size >100,000 in each area
  - ◆ Unique Cells
    - *Demographic, socioeconomic, unique identifiers*
  - ◆ Small Cells (<5 cases)
  - ◆ Time lag between data collection and data release
- ❖ *Potential availability of exogenous files and list of common variables*
- ❖ *Warning to data users; penalty for misuse of data*
- ❖ *DRB Checklist*

## *Techniques Used for Release of Microdata*

- ❖ *Micro-aggregation*
- ❖ *Deletion of data items*
- ❖ *Deletion of sensitive records*
- ❖ *Data swapping*
- ❖ *Recoding of variables into broad categories*
- ❖ *Top- and bottom-coding*
- ❖ *Sampling*
- ❖ *Population thresholds by selected categories or geography*
- ❖ *Imputation and collapsing of categories*

## *Example: Population Thresholds*

- ❖ *Each of the 78 areas identified in the PUF has total population greater than 100,000*



## *Example: Data Recoding*

### *❖ Race/ethnicity of child is recoded into 4 categories*

- ◆ Hispanic*
- ◆ White, non-Hispanic*
- ◆ Black, non-Hispanic*
- ◆ All other races, non-Hispanic*

### *❖ Age of child collected in months but is recoded to:*

- ◆ 19-23 months*
- ◆ 24-29 months*
- ◆ 30-35 months*

## *Example: Top-coding*

- ❖ *Household size top-coded to 8+*
- ❖ *Ratio of family income to poverty threshold is capped at 3.0*
- ❖ *Family income is capped at “greater than \$50,000”*
- ❖ *Number of vaccination providers identified by the household respondent is capped at “3 or more”*

## *Example: Deletion of Variables from PUF*

- ❖ Interview dates are not included*
- ❖ Child's date of birth is not included*
- ❖ Provider- and household-reported vaccination dates are not included*
- ❖ ZIP code of residence is not included*
- ❖ Area code and central office code from RDD sample are not included*

## *Exogenous Files*

- ❖ *A data intruder could covertly match the NIS PUF with exogenous population file X*
- ❖ *How does one determine whether a cell in a cross-tabulation, using variables common to the PUF and exogenous file X, indicates that the PUF includes most or all of the children in a rare population cell?*
- ❖ *Can examine unweighted cross-tabulations of PUF data using demographic and socioeconomic variables*
- ❖ *A cell might contain only 3 children; if PUF sample size were 3 times larger, the same cell might contain 9 children, though population size in that cell is still the same*

## *Exogenous Files (cont.)*

- ❖ *Can look for small weighted cell sizes*
- ❖ *With multiple weight adjustments the weight assigned to a child does not really reflect “how many children they represent in the population”*
  - ◆ **Example: two children may have the same base sampling weight; but if one child is in a household that has 3 voice-use phone lines, its adjusted base sampling weight will be one-third of the other child’s weight**

## *Method Used for NIS PUFs*

- ❖ *Coarsen data in small (rare) population cells by applying a technique that distorts data records before PUF is released*
  - ◆ Identified variables A, B, C, and D that are common to NIS PUF and exogenous file X
  - ◆ Both files identified 78 geographic areas
  - ◆ Reviewed the 4-way table A x B x C x D within a selected area and identified cells with  $n < 5$  in the exogenous file X

## *Artificial Example*

### **AREA # 1: FIVE SMALL CELLS (n<5)**

A	B	C	D	Number in PUF	Number in Population File X
1	4	2	3	2	5
1	3	1	3	1	3
2	1	2	1	3	5
3	2	1	2	2	4
3	1	1	2	1	2

## Area # 1: After Recoding of Variable A in the PUF

A	B	C	D	Number in PUF	Number in Population File X
2	4	2	3	6	23
2	3	1	3	3	14
2	1	2	1	3	5
2	2	1	2	4	17
2	1	1	2	2	11

The data recodes to variables A, B, C, and D are shown in purple.



## Area # 1: After Recoding Variable B in the PUF

A	B	C	D	Number in PUF	Number in Population File X
2	4	2	3	6	23
2	3	1	3	3	14
2	2	2	1	5	19
2	2	1	2	4	17
2	1	1	2	2	11

The data recodes to variables A, B, C, and D are shown in purple.

## *Final Result*

*After data coarsening:*

*Cross-tabulation of variables A, B, C, and D for a geographic area in the released PUF does not result in identification of any small population cells in exogenous file X for which most or all children are in PUF.*

## *Summary*

- ❖ *Potential conflict between data users' need and what can be released in the PUF in order to protect confidentiality*
- ❖ *Legal obligation to protect confidentiality of respondents and to reduce risk of disclosure*
- ❖ *Warning to users; penalty for misuse*
- ❖ *Methods used in the NIS*
  - ◆ **Population in each IAP area > 100,000**
  - ◆ **Identified rare cells; used extensive cross-tabulation**
  - ◆ **Deleted sensitive variables**
  - ◆ **Used top-coding, bottom-coding, recoding**
  - ◆ **Collapsed categories to create wider classes**
  - ◆ **Imputation**
  - ◆ **Used data coarsening**
  - ◆ **Matched with exogenous file for evaluation only**
- ❖ *DRB review and approval*

## References

- ❖ Pat Doyle, Julia I. Lane, Jules J. M. Theeuwes, and Laura V. Zayatz (eds.). *Confidentiality, Disclosure, and Data Access: Theory and Practical Applications for Statistical Agencies*. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 2001
- ❖ Federal Committee on Statistical Methodology, Confidentiality and Data Access Committee, *Checklist on Disclosure Potential of Proposed Data Releases*, [www.fcsm.gov/docs/checklist\\_799.doc](http://www.fcsm.gov/docs/checklist_799.doc), July 1999
- ❖ A.O. Zarate, (1998) “*Legal, Administrative and Statistical Aspects of Confidentiality Procedures at the National Center for Health Statistics Presentation*”, paper presented as expert testimony on issues of ‘privacy and confidentiality’, for the public Meeting on the President’s Initiative on Immunization Registries, Atlanta, 16 July 1998.
- ❖ National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), *Staff Manual on Confidentiality*, September 1984

*Thank you*

*mxk1@cdc.gov*